

A Note on Coseparable Coalgebras*

Jawad Y. Abuhlail[†]

Department of Mathematics and Statistic, Box # 5046
King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals
31261 Dhahran - Saudi Arabia
abuhlail@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract

Given a coalgebra C over a commutative ring R , we show that C can be considered as a (*not necessarily counital*) C^{*op} -coring. Moreover, we show that this coring has a left (right) counity if and only if C is coseparable as an R -coalgebra.

1 Introduction

Coseparable coalgebras (*coseparable corings*) are dual to separable algebras (separable rings), and were introduced by Larson [Lar:1973] (Guzmán [Gut:1989]). These were investigated, using *homological, categorical and module theoretical* approaches by several authors including Doi [Doi:1981], Castaño Iglesias et. al. [C-IG-T:1997] and Brzeziński et. al. [BTW2006] (Gómez-Torrecillas et. al. in [G-TL:2003], [G-T:2002] and Brzeziński et. al. [BKW:2005]). Generalizations were also considered by Nakajima (e.g. [Nak:1979], [Nak:1980]), who introduced the notion of *coseparable coalgebras over coalgebras*.

The main goal of this short note is to recover a coseparable coalgebra C as left (right) counital coring over the opposite dual algebra $C^\bullet := C^{*op}$. After this brief introduction, we provide in Section two some preliminaries about (not necessarily counital) corings and their categories of comodules. We introduce also the notions of left (right) counital rings and their dual right (left) unital rings. In Section three, we show that every coalgebra C can be considered (in a natural way) as a (not necessarily counital) coring over $C^\bullet := C^{*op}$. In Proposition 3.8, we show that an R -coalgebra C , for which the associated coring $(C : C^\bullet)$ is left (right) counital is a separable R -algebra as well as a coseparable R -algebra and induce a *separable measuring pairing*. In Section four, we prove the main result, Theorem 4.7, which states that the coring $(C : C^\bullet)$ is left (right) counital if and only if C is a coseparable R -coalgebra.

*MSC (2000): 16W30

Keywords: Coseparable Coalgebras; Coseparable Corings; Separable Algebras; Separable Rings; Separable Functors

[†]Supported by King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM)

2 Preliminaries

Throughout, R denotes a *commutative* ring with $1_R \neq 0_R$ and A, B are associative (not necessarily commutative) unital R -algebras. All modules over unital rings are assumed to be unitary. Let M be a right B -module and N a left B -module. Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$M \otimes_B B \xrightarrow{\vartheta_M^r} M \text{ and } B \otimes_B N \xrightarrow{\vartheta_N^l} N.$$

If $\gamma : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of R -algebras, then we consider M as a right A -module, N as a left A -module with actions induced through γ by those of B , and we have an R -linear morphism

$$\chi_{(M,N)}^{(A,B)} : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_B N. \quad (1)$$

For a left A -module (resp. right A -module, (A, A) -bimodule) U , we consider the set ${}^*U := \text{Hom}_{A-}(U, A)$ (resp. $U^* := \text{Hom}_{-A}(U, A)$, ${}^*U^* := \text{Hom}_{(A,A)}(U, A)$) of left A -linear (resp. right A -linear, (A, A) -bilinear) maps from U to A as a right A -module (resp. a left A -module, (A, A) -bimodule) in the canonical way. With *locally projective* modules, we mean those in the sense of [Z-H:1976].

2.1. A (*not necessarily unital*) **A -ring** $(T, \mu_T : A)$ is an (A, A) -bimodule, with an (A, A) -bilinear map $\mu_T : T \otimes_A T \rightarrow T$, called **multiplication**, such that

$$\mu_T \circ (\mu_T \otimes_A \text{id}_T) = \mu_T \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes_A \mu_T).$$

An A -ring T is said to be **left unital** (resp. **right unital**, **unital**), iff there exists a right A -linear (resp. left A -linear, (A, A) -bilinear) map, called **left unity map** (resp. **right unity map**, **unity map**) $\eta_T^l : A \rightarrow T$ (resp. $\eta_T^r : A \rightarrow T$, $\eta_T : A \rightarrow T$), such that $\mu_T \circ (\eta_T^l \otimes_A \text{id}_T) \equiv \vartheta_T^l$ (resp. $\mu_T \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes_A \eta_T^r) \equiv \vartheta_T^r$, $\mu_T \circ (\eta_T \otimes_A \text{id}_T) \equiv \vartheta_T^l$ and $\mu_T \circ (\text{id}_T \otimes_A \eta_T) \equiv \vartheta_T^r$).

For an A -ring T and a left (right) T -module M , we denote with $\sigma[M]$ Wisbauer's category of T -subgenerated left (right) T -modules, i.e. the category of submodules of M -generated left (right) T -modules (e.g. [Wis:1991] and [Wis:1996]).

Left Counital (Right Counital) Corings

2.2. With a (not necessarily counital) **A -coring** $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : A)$, we mean an (A, A) -bimodule $\mathcal{C}$ with an (A, A) -bilinear map $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$, called **comultiplication**, such that

$$(\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

2.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}})$ be an A -coring. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}})$ is

left counital, iff there exists a *right A -linear map* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ (called **left counity**) such that

$$(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \equiv \vartheta_{\mathcal{C}}^l, \text{ i.e. } \sum \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l(c_1)c_2 = c \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C};$$

right counital, iff there exists a *left A -linear map* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ (called **right counity**), such that

$$(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \equiv \vartheta_{\mathcal{C}}^r, \text{ i.e. } \sum c_1 \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r(c_2) = c \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C};$$

counital, iff there exists an *(A, A) -bilinear map* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ (called **counity**), such that

$$\sum \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1)c_2 = c = \sum c_1 \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(c_2) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ be an A -coring. An A -subbimodule $K \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a **$\mathcal{C}$ -coideal**, iff

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(K) \subseteq K \otimes_A \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} \otimes_A K \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(K) = 0.$$

2.5. A morphism of corings

$$(\theta : \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : A) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \Delta_{\mathcal{D}} : B)$$

consists of a morphism of unital R -algebras $\gamma : A \rightarrow B$ and an (A, A) -bilinear map $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, such that

$$\chi_{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})}^{(A, B)} \circ (\theta \otimes_A \theta) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \theta. \quad (2)$$

In case the corings $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are (left counital (resp. right counital, counital), then we say $(\theta : \gamma)$ is a morphism of *left counital corings* (resp. *right counital corings*, *counital corings*), iff $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}^l \circ \theta = \gamma \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}^r \circ \theta = \gamma \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r$, $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \theta = \gamma \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$).

2.6. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}})$ be a (not necessarily counital) A -coring. Then

$({}^*\mathcal{C}, *_l)$ is a (not necessarily unital) A -ring with multiplication

$$(f *_l g)(c) = \sum g(c_1 f(c_2)) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } f, g \in {}^*\mathcal{C};$$

$(\mathcal{C}^*, *_r)$ is a (not necessarily unital) A -ring with multiplication

$$(f *_r g)(c) = \sum f(g(c_1) c_2) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } f, g \in \mathcal{C}^*;$$

$({}^*\mathcal{C}^*, *)$ is a (not necessarily unital) A -ring with multiplication

$$(f * g)(c) = \sum g(c_1) f(c_2) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } f, g \in {}^*\mathcal{C}^*.$$

Notice that these multiplications are opposite to the ones used in [BW:2003].

Remark 2.7. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}})$ be an A -coring.

if $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r)$ is a right counital A -coring, then $({}^*\mathcal{C}, *_l, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r)$ is a left unital A -ring with left unity $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^r$; the converse holds, if ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ is A -cogenerated.

if $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l)$ is a left counital A -coring, then $(\mathcal{C}^*, *_r, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l)$ is a right unital A -ring with right unity $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^l$; the converse holds, if $\mathcal{C}_A$ is A -cogenerated.

if $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ is an A -coring, then $({}^*\mathcal{C}, *_l, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{C}^*, *_r, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $({}^*\mathcal{C}^*, *, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$) are A -rings; the converse holds if ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_A$, ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$) is A -cogenerated.

2.8. We call an R -coring with $rc = cr$ for all $c \in C$ and $r \in R$ a (non necessarily counital) **R -coalgebra**. For any R -coalgebra C , the dual R -module $C^* := \text{Hom}_R(C, R)$ is an R -algebra with multiplication given by the convolution product

$$(f * g)(c) = \sum f(c_1)g(c_2) \text{ for all } f, g \in C^* \text{ and } c \in C.$$

If C is counital with counity ε , then the R -algebra C^* is unital with unity ε .

2.9. Let (C, Δ_C) be an A -coring. With a **right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule**, we mean a right A -module M with a right A -linear map $\varrho_M^C : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A C$, $m \mapsto \sum m_{<0>} \otimes_A m_{<1>}$ such that

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes_A \Delta_C) \circ \varrho_M^C = (\varrho_M^C \otimes_A \text{id}_C) \circ \varrho_M^C.$$

If $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C^r)$ is a right counital A -coring we say M is **counital**, iff

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes_A \varepsilon_C^r) \circ \varrho_M^C \equiv \vartheta_M^r, \text{ i.e. } \sum m_{<0>} \varepsilon_C^r(m_{<1>}) = m \text{ for all } m \in M.$$

If M and N are right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules we say an A -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a **morphism of right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules** (called also **right $\mathcal{C}$ -colinear**), iff $\varrho_N^C \circ f = (f \otimes_A \text{id}_C) \circ \varrho_M^C$. The category of right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules with right $\mathcal{C}$ -colinear maps is denoted by $\mathbb{M}^C$. The category ${}^C\mathbb{M}$ of *left $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules* is defined analogously.

2.10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A -coring and $\mathcal{D}$ a B -coring. With a $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodule, we mean a (B, A) -bimodule M where $(M, \varrho_M^{\mathcal{D}})$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$ -comodule and (M, ϱ_M^C) is a right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule, such that $\varrho_M^{\mathcal{D}} : M \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes_B M$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -colinear (equivalently, iff $\varrho_M^C : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A C$ is $\mathcal{D}$ -colinear), i.e.

$$(\varrho_M^{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_A \text{id}_C) \circ \varrho_M^C = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_B \varrho_M^C) \circ \varrho_M^{\mathcal{D}}.$$

If $(M, \varrho_M^{\mathcal{D}}, \varrho_M^C)$ and $(N, \varrho_N^{\mathcal{D}}, \varrho_N^C)$ are $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodules, then a (B, A) -bilinear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called a **morphism of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodules** (or **$(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bilinear**), iff f is left $\mathcal{D}$ -colinear and right $\mathcal{C}$ -colinear; and we denote the set of such morphisms by ${}^{\mathcal{D}}\text{Hom}^C(M, N)$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a left counital B -coring with left counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}^l$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a right counital A -coring with right counit ε_C^r we say a $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodule M is **counital**, iff M is counital as a left $\mathcal{D}$ -comodule and as a right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule, i.e. iff

$$\sum \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}^l(m_{<-1>})m_{<0>} = m = \sum m_{<0>} \varepsilon_C^r(m_{<1>}) \text{ for all } m \in M.$$

The category of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodules and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bilinear maps is denoted by ${}^{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{M}^C$.

2.11. Let $\mathcal{C} = (C, \Delta_C : A)$ be an A -coring. Then $\mathcal{C}^{cop} = (C^{cop}, \Delta_C^{tw} : A^{op})$ is an A^{op} -coring, where

$$\Delta_C^{tw} := \tau \circ \Delta_C : C \rightarrow C^{cop} \otimes_{A^{op}} C^{cop}, \quad c \mapsto \sum c_2 \otimes_{A^{op}} c_1.$$

Moreover, the category of right (left) $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules is isomorphic to the category of left (right) $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules; and the category of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodules, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a B -coring, is isomorphic to the category of $(\mathcal{C}^{cop}, \mathcal{D}^{cop})$ -bicomodules.

Remark 2.12. If $\mathcal{C}$ is left counital (resp. right counital, counital) A -coring, then the A^{op} -coring $\mathcal{C}^{cop}$ is right counital (resp. left counital, counital).

A slight modification of the proofs of [Abu:2003] (or [BW:2003]) yields the following

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A -coring.*

1. *Assume $\mathcal{C}$ is a right counital A -coring. Then ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ is locally projective $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \sigma[\mathcal{C}_*\mathcal{C}] \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{*\mathcal{C}}$ is a full subcategory;*
2. *Assume $\mathcal{C}$ is a left counital A -coring. Then $\mathcal{C}_A$ is locally projective $\Leftrightarrow {}^{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{M} \simeq \sigma[\mathcal{C}^*\mathcal{C}] \Leftrightarrow {}^{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{M} \subseteq {}^{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{M}$ is a full subcategory.*

Dorroh Corings

Inspired by the notion of Dorroh rings, obtained by associating a unity to a non-unital ring, Vercruysse introduced in [Ver:2006] the notion of Dorroh corings obtained by associating a counity to a non-counital coring:

Theorem 2.14. ([Ver:2006, Theorem 6.1.]) *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A -coring. Then there exists a counital A -coring $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$, such that:*

1. *There is a surjective morphism of A -corings $\pi : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$;*
2. *$\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a coideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$;*
3. *There is an injective morphism of A -corings $\iota : A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$;*
4. *The category of not necessarily counital right (left) $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules is isomorphism to the category of counital right (left) $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules.*

Definition 2.15. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A -coring. The A -coring $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.14 is called the **Dorroh coring** associated to $\mathcal{C}$.

2.16. Let A be a ring and $\mathcal{C}$ an A -coring. The associated Dorroh A -coring $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is constructed as follows: $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C} \times A$ with bimodule structure given by

$$a'(c, a)a'' := (a'ca'', a'aa'') \text{ for all } a, a', a'' \in A \text{ and } c \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The comultiplication and the counit of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(c, a) &:= \sum (c_1, 0) \otimes_A (c_2, 0) + (0, 1_A) \otimes_A (c, a) + (c, a) \otimes_A (0, 1_A) - (0, a) \otimes_A (0, 1_A); \\ \varepsilon_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(c, a) &:= a. \end{aligned}$$

If $(M, \varrho_M^{\mathcal{C}})$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule, then $(M, \varrho_M^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})$ is a counital right $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule, where

$$\varrho_M^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \widehat{\mathcal{C}}, \quad m \mapsto \sum m_{<0>} \otimes_A (m_{<1>}, 0) + m \otimes_A (0, 1_A).$$

On the other hand, if $(N, \varrho_N^{\mathcal{C}})$ is a left $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule, then $(N, \varrho_N^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})$ is a counital $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule, where

$$\varrho_N^{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} : N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A N, \quad n \mapsto \sum (n_{<-1>}, 0) \otimes_A n_{<0>} + (0, 1_A) \otimes_A n.$$

Similar to Theorem 2.14, we get the following result:

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be corings, and consider the associated counital Dorroh corings $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the category of (not necessarily counital) $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodules is isomorphic to the category of counital $(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}, \widehat{\mathcal{C}})$ -bicomodules.*

3 Every Coalgebra is a Coring

In this section we show that every R -coalgebra C is a (possibly non-counital) $C^\bullet$ -coring, where $C^\bullet := C^{*op}$ is the opposite of the dual R -algebra.

3.1. Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be an R -coalgebra and consider the opposite dual R -algebra $C^\bullet := ((C^*)^{op}, \bullet, \varepsilon_C)$, where

$$(f \bullet g)(c) = \sum g(c_1)f(c_2) \text{ for all } f, g \in C^\bullet \text{ and } c \in C.$$

Then C is clearly a $(C^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ -bimodule, with left and right $C^\bullet$ -actions given by

$$f \rightarrow c := \sum f(c_1)c_2 \text{ and } c \leftarrow g := \sum c_1g(c_2) \text{ for all } f, g \in C^\bullet \text{ and } c \in C.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be an R -coalgebra and consider the R -linear morphism*

$$\eta_{C^\bullet} : R \rightarrow C^\bullet, \quad r \mapsto [c \mapsto r\varepsilon_C(c)].$$

1. $\mathbf{C} := (\mathbf{C} : C^\bullet)$ is a (not necessarily counital) coring, where $\mathbf{C} = C$ is canonically a $(C^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ -bimodule, and with comultiplication

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{C}} := \chi_{(C, C)}^{(R, C^\bullet)} \circ \Delta_C : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes_{C^\bullet} \mathbf{C}, \quad c \mapsto \sum c_1 \otimes_{C^\bullet} c_2 \text{ for every } c \in C.$$

Moreover, we have a morphism of corings

$$(\text{id} : \eta_{C^\bullet}) : (C : R) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C} : C^\bullet).$$

2. $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{C} \times C^\bullet$ is a counital $C^\bullet$ -coring with canonical $(C^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ -bimodule structure, and with comultiplication and counit

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{C}}}(c, f) &:= \sum (c_1, 0) \otimes_{C^\bullet} (c_2, 0) + (0, \varepsilon_C) \otimes_{C^\bullet} (c, f) + (c, f) \otimes_{C^\bullet} (0, \varepsilon_C) \\ &\quad - (0, f) \otimes_{C^\bullet} (0, \varepsilon_C); \\ \varepsilon_{\widehat{\mathbf{C}}}(c, f) &:= f. \end{aligned}$$

3. The category of not necessarily counital right (left) $\mathbf{C}$ -comodules is isomorphic to the category of counital right (left) $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ -comodules.
4. If D is any R -coalgebra and $(\mathbf{D} : D^\bullet)$ is the corresponding D^{*op} -coring, then the category of not necessarily counital $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C})$ -bicomodules is isomorphic to the category of counital $(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}, \widehat{\mathbf{C}})$ -bicomodules.

Proof. Since Δ_C is coassociative, it is clear that $\Delta_{\mathbf{C}} := \chi_{(C, C)}^{(R, C^\bullet)} \circ \Delta_C$ is coassociative as well. Moreover, we have for all $c \in C$ and $f, g \in C^\bullet$:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{C}}(f \rightharpoonup c) = \Delta_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\sum f(c_1)c_2\right) = \sum f(c_1)c_2 \otimes_{C^\bullet} c_3 = f \rightharpoonup \left(\sum c_1 \otimes_{C^\bullet} c_2\right) = f \rightharpoonup \Delta_{\mathbf{C}}(c)$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{C}}(c \leftharpoonup g) = \Delta_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\sum c_1g(c_2)\right) = \sum c_1 \otimes_{C^\bullet} c_2g(c_3) = \left(\sum c_1 \otimes_{C^\bullet} c_2\right) \leftharpoonup g = \Delta_{\mathbf{C}}(c) \leftharpoonup g,$$

whence $\Delta_{\mathbf{C}}$ is $(C^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ -bilinear. It follows then that $(\mathbf{C}, \Delta_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a (not necessarily counital) A -coring. The remaining results follow from Theorems 2.14, 2.17 and 2.16. ■

Remarks 3.3. ([BW:2003, 8.10.]) Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be an R -coalgebra and assume ${}_R C$ is locally projective (so that $\mathbb{M}^C \simeq \sigma[C^*C] = \sigma[C_C^\bullet]$ and ${}^C \mathbb{M} \simeq \sigma[C_C^*] = \sigma[C^\bullet C]$).

1. If ${}_C C$ ($C_C^\bullet$) is locally projective, then C is a generator in $\mathbb{M}^C$ (in ${}^C \mathbb{M}$).
2. If ${}_C C$ and $C_C^\bullet$ are locally projective, then the functors $\text{Rat}^C(-) : \mathbb{M}_{C^\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^C$ and ${}^C \text{Rat}(-) : {}_C \mathbb{M} \rightarrow {}^C \mathbb{M}$ are exact.

Corollary 3.4. Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be an R -coalgebra and consider the morphism of R -algebras

$$\eta_{C^*} : R \rightarrow C^*, \quad r \mapsto [c \mapsto r\varepsilon_C(c)].$$

1. $\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} := (C : C^*)$ is a C^* -coring with the canonical (C^*, C^*) -bimodule structure, and with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}} := \chi_{(C, C)}^{(R, C^*)} \circ \Delta^{\text{tw}} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} \otimes_{C^*} \mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}, \quad c \mapsto \sum c_2 \otimes_{C^*} c_1 \text{ for every } c \in C.$$

Moreover, we have a morphism of corings

$$(\text{id} : \eta_{C^*}) : (C : R) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} : C^*).$$

2. $\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}} := \mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} \times C^*$ is a counital C^* -coring with canonical (C^*, C^*) -bimodule structure, and with comultiplication and counit given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}}}(c, f) &:= \sum (c_2, 0) \otimes_{C^*} (c_1, 0) + (0, \varepsilon_C) \otimes_{C^*} (c, f) + (c, f) \otimes_{C^*} (0, \varepsilon_C) \\ &\quad - (0, f) \otimes_{C^*} (0, \varepsilon_C); \\ \varepsilon_{\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}}}(c, f) &:= f. \end{aligned}$$

3. The category of not necessarily counital right (left) $\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}$ -comodules is isomorphic to the category of counital right (left) $\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}}$ -comodules; and the category of not necessarily counital $(\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}, \mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}})$ -bicomodules is isomorphic to the category of counital $(\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}}, \widehat{\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}})$ -bicomodules.

Remark 3.5. Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be an R -coalgebra and consider the R -linear map

$$\bar{\varepsilon} : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet, \quad c \mapsto \varepsilon_C(c) \varepsilon_C(-).$$

For any $f \in C^\bullet$ and $c, d \in C$ we have

$$\bar{\varepsilon}(f \rightarrow c)(d) = \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\sum f(c_1)c_2\right)(d) = \sum f(c_1)\varepsilon_C(c_2)\varepsilon_C(d) = f\left(\sum c_1\varepsilon_C(c_2)\right)\varepsilon_C(d) = f(c)\varepsilon_C(d),$$

while

$$[f \bullet \bar{\varepsilon}(c)](d) = \sum [\bar{\varepsilon}(c)(d_1)]f(d_2) = \sum \varepsilon_C(c)\varepsilon_C(d_1)f(d_2) = \varepsilon_C(c)f\left(\sum \varepsilon_C(d_1)d_2\right) = \varepsilon_C(c)f(d),$$

whence $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is not left $C^\bullet$ -linear. Similarly, one can show that $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is not right $C^\bullet$ -linear. Hence ε cannot serve as a left (right) counit for the $C^\bullet$ -coring $\mathbf{C}$, or for the C^* -coring $\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}}$. We conclude then that these corings are *not necessarily* counital, and so the Dorroh corings above are non-trivial.

Definition 3.6. A (not necessarily unital) R -algebra (A, μ_A) is said to be **separable**, iff $\mu_A : \mathbf{A} \otimes_R \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a *section* (i.e. there exists a $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A})$ -bilinear map $\delta_A : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \otimes_R \mathbf{A}$, such that $\mu_A \circ \delta_A = \text{id}_\mathbf{A}$).

Definition 3.7. A *separable measuring R -pairing* $P = (A, C; \kappa_P)$ consists of a *separable* (not necessarily unital) R -algebra $\mathbf{A}$ and a (not necessarily counital) *coseparable* R -coalgebra C along with a morphism of R -algebras $\kappa_P : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow C^*$.

Proposition 3.8. Let (C, Δ_C) be a (not necessarily counital) R -coalgebra. If the associated $C^\bullet$ -coring $\mathbf{C} = (C : C^\bullet)$ is left (right) counital, then

1. C is a *separable* (not necessarily unital) R -algebra with multiplication

$$\mu_C : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C, \quad c \otimes_R c' \mapsto \varepsilon^l(c) \rightarrow c'.$$

2. C is a *coseparable* R -coalgebra.

3. $P = (C^{\text{op}}, C)$ is a *separable measuring R -pairing* (where C^{op} is the opposite R -algebra).

Proof. Let (C, Δ_C) be a (not necessarily counital) R -coalgebra and assume the associated $C^\bullet$ -coring $\mathbf{C} = (C : C^\bullet)$ to be have a left counit $\varepsilon_C^l : C \rightarrow C^\bullet$ (if $\mathbf{C}$ has a right counit ε_C^r , then the results can be proved analogously).

1. We have for all $c, d, e \in C$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_C \circ (\mu_C \otimes_R \text{id}_C))(c \otimes_R d \otimes_R e) &= \mu_C(\varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow d \otimes_R e) \\
&= \varepsilon_C^l(\varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow d) \rightarrow e \\
&= (\varepsilon_C^l(c) \cdot \varepsilon_C^l(d)) \rightarrow e \\
&= \varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow (\varepsilon_C^l(d) \rightarrow e) \\
&= \mu_C(c \otimes_R \varepsilon_C^l(d) \rightarrow e) \\
&= (\mu_C \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \mu_C))(c \otimes_R d \otimes_R e),
\end{aligned}$$

whence (C, μ_C) is an R -algebra. Notice also that for all $c, c' \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_C(cc') &= \Delta_C(\varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow c') = \varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow \Delta_C(c') \\
&= \varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow \sum c'_1 \otimes_R c'_2 = \sum \varepsilon_C^l(c) \rightarrow c'_1 \otimes_R c'_2 \\
&= \sum cc'_1 \otimes_R c'_2 = c\Delta_C(c'),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. Δ_C is left C -linear. Similarly, one can show that Δ_C is right C -linear. Moreover, for all $c \in C$ we have

$$(\mu_C \circ \Delta_C)(c) = \mu_C(\sum c_1 \otimes_R c_2) = \sum \varepsilon_C^l(c_1) \rightarrow c_2 = c,$$

i.e. $\Delta_C : C \rightarrow C \otimes_R C$ is a section of $\mu_C : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C$.

2. This follows by [BW:2003, 26.8.].

3. Consider

$$\kappa_P : C^{op} \rightarrow C^*, \quad c \mapsto [d \mapsto \varepsilon_C^l(c)(d)].$$

For all $c, c', d \in C$ we have

$$\kappa_P(c \bullet^{op} c') = \varepsilon_C^l(c'c) = \varepsilon_C^l(\varepsilon_C^l(c') \rightarrow c) = \varepsilon_C^l(c') \bullet \varepsilon_C^l(c) = \kappa_P(c') \bullet \kappa_P(c) = \kappa_P(c) * \kappa_P(c'),$$

i.e. κ_P is a morphism of R -algebras. Whence $P = (C^{op}, C)$ is a measuring R -pairing. ■

4 Coseparable Corings

Throughout this section, $C = (C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ is a *counital* R -coalgebra and $C^{cop} := (C, \Delta_C^{tw}, \varepsilon_C)$ is its opposite R -coalgebra.

Definition 4.1. We say that a (not necessarily counital) A -coring $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}})$ is **coseparable**, iff the structure map $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$ splits as a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -bicomodule morphism, i.e. iff there exists an (A, A) -bilinear map $\pi : \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\pi \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ and } (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \pi) \circ (\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \pi = (\pi \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Definition 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ be a counital A -coring. A **cointegral** in $\mathcal{C}$ is an (A, A) -bilinear map $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$, such that for all $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\sum \gamma(c \otimes_A c'_1) c'_2 = \sum c_1 \gamma(c_2 \otimes_A c') \text{ and } \sum \gamma(c_1 \otimes_A c_2) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(c).$$

Lemma 4.3. *The mapping $\pi \mapsto \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \pi$ gives a 1-1 correspondence*

$$\{\pi \in {}^{\mathcal{C}}\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \mid \pi \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{(A,A)}(\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}, A) \mid \gamma \text{ cointegral in } \mathcal{C}\},$$

with inverse mapping $\gamma \mapsto [c \otimes_A c' \mapsto \sum c_1 \gamma(c_2 \otimes_A c')]$.

Separable functors were introduced first by Năstăsescu et. al. [NvdBvO:1989] in the context of graded rings and were investigated by several authors including Rafael [Raf:1990]. In [C-IG-T:1997] (resp. [G-T:2002], [G-TL:2003]), Gómez-Torrecillas et. al. applied separable functors to study several functors between categories of comodules for coalgebras over base fields (corings over *non-necessarily commutative* ground rings).

Definition 4.4. A covariant functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be **separable**, iff the functorial morphism

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, -) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathbf{B}}(F(-), F(-))$$

is a *functorial coretraction*, i.e. iff there exists a functorial morphism

$$\mathcal{G} : \text{Mor}_{\mathbf{B}}(F(-), F(-)) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, -),$$

such that $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F} = \text{id}_{\text{Mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, -)}$.

Lemma 4.5. *The following are equivalent:*

1. C is coseparable;
2. there exists an R -linear map $\delta : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\delta \circ \Delta_C = \varepsilon_C \text{ and } (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \delta) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes_R \text{id}_C) = (\delta \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C).$$

3. The forgetful functor $(-)_R : \mathbb{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_R$ is separable;
4. The forgetful functor ${}_R(-)_R : {}^C\mathbb{M}^C \rightarrow {}_R\mathbb{M}_R$ is separable;
5. The forgetful functor ${}_R(-) : {}^C\mathbb{M} \rightarrow {}_R\mathbb{M}$ is separable;
6. there exists an R -linear map $\tilde{\delta} : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\tilde{\delta} \circ \Delta_C^{\text{tw}} = \varepsilon_C \text{ and } (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tilde{\delta}) \circ (\Delta_C^{\text{tw}} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) = (\tilde{\delta} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C^{\text{tw}});$$

7. C^{cop} is coseparable.

Proof. The statements 1-4 are equivalent by [BW:2003, 3.29]. The conditions 4-7 are equivalent, by restating the equivalent conditions 1-4 for the opposite R -coalgebra C^{cop} (notice that we have isomorphisms of categories ${}^C\mathbb{M}^C \simeq {}^{C^{cop}}\mathbb{M}^{C^{cop}}$ and ${}^C\mathbb{M} \simeq \mathbb{M}^{C^{cop}}$. ■

Next, we prove (under weaker assumptions) a technical lemma that is similar to [BW:2003, 6.4.]:

Lemma 4.6. *Let*

$$\gamma : C \times C \rightarrow R, \quad (c, d) \mapsto \langle c, d \rangle$$

be an R -balanced form, and consider the associated R -linear maps:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \tilde{\gamma} & : & C \otimes_R C & \rightarrow R, \quad c \otimes_R d \mapsto \langle c, d \rangle; \\ \gamma^l & : & \mathbf{C} & \rightarrow C^\bullet, \quad d \mapsto \langle -, d \rangle; \\ \gamma^r & : & \mathbf{C} & \rightarrow C^\bullet, \quad c \mapsto \langle c, - \rangle. \end{array}$$

The following are equivalent:

1. γ is $C^\bullet$ -balanced;
2. $\gamma^l : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet$ is left $C^\bullet$ -linear;
3. $\gamma^r : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet$ is right $C^\bullet$ -linear;
4. γ factors through some R -linear map $\delta : C \otimes_{C^\bullet} C \rightarrow R$.

If moreover C is R -cogenerated, then the statements above are equivalent to:

5. $(\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\Delta_C^{\text{tw}} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) = (\tilde{\gamma} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C^{\text{tw}})$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : Assume that γ is $C^\bullet$ -balanced. For any $f \in C^\bullet$ and $c, d \in \mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^l(f \rightarrow d)(c) &= \langle c, f \rightarrow d \rangle = \langle c \leftarrow f, d \rangle \\ &= \gamma^l(d)(c \leftarrow f) = \gamma^l(d)(\sum c_1 f(c_2)) \\ &= (f *_l \gamma^l(d))(c), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. γ^l is left $C^\bullet$ -linear.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : The result follows by rearranging the equalities above.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) : Follows by an argument similar to the one in proving (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2).

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) : The equivalence follows by the definition of tensor products.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (5) : Let C be R -cogenerated and assume that γ is $C^\bullet$ -balanced. Then we have for every $c, d \in C$ and $f \in C^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} f[(\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\Delta_C^{\text{tw}} \otimes_R \text{id}_C)(c \otimes_R d)] &= \sum f(c_2) \langle c_1, d \rangle \\ &= \langle c \leftarrow f, d \rangle \\ &= \langle c, f \rightarrow d \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle c, d_2 \rangle f(d_1) \\ &= f[(\tilde{\gamma} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C^{\text{tw}})(c \otimes_R d)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since C is R -cogenerated, we obtain “5”.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Assuming “5”, we get “1” by a similar argument to the one above (notice that there is no need to assume C is R -cogenerated to prove this implication).■

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be a counital R -coalgebra. If ${}_R C$ is locally projective, then the following are equivalent:*

1. C is a coseparable R -coalgebra;
2. The coring $(\mathbf{C} : C^\bullet)$ is left counital;
3. C^{cop} is a coseparable R -coalgebra;
4. The coring $(\mathbf{C} : C^\bullet)$ is right counital.

Proof. Assume ${}_R C$ to be R -cogenerated.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume the R -coalgebra C to be coseparable, so that there exists a (C, C) -bilinear map $\pi : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C$ with $\pi \circ \Delta_C = \text{id}_C$. Consider the R -bilinear form

$$\gamma : C \times C \rightarrow R, (c, d) \mapsto \varepsilon_C(\pi(d \otimes_R c)),$$

and the R -linear map

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \varepsilon_C \circ \pi \circ \tau : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow R, c \otimes_R d \mapsto \langle c, d \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\tilde{\gamma} \circ \Delta_C^{\text{tw}} = \varepsilon_C \circ \pi \circ \tau \circ (\tau \circ \Delta_C) = \varepsilon_C \circ \pi \circ \Delta_C = \varepsilon_C \circ \text{id}_C = \varepsilon_C.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\Delta_C^{\text{tw}} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) &= (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \varepsilon_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \pi \circ \tau) \circ (\tau \circ \Delta_C \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \\ &= (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \varepsilon_C) \circ \tau \circ (\pi \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C) \circ \tau \\ &= (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \varepsilon_C) \circ \tau \circ \Delta_C \circ \pi \circ \tau \\ &= \pi \circ \tau \\ &= (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \varepsilon_C) \circ \tau \circ \Delta_C \circ \pi \circ \tau \\ &= (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \varepsilon_C) \circ \tau \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \pi) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ \tau \\ &= (\varepsilon_C \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\pi \circ \tau \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tau \circ \Delta_C) \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C^{\text{tw}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\gamma} \circ \Delta_C^{\text{tw}} = \varepsilon_C \text{ and } (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\Delta_C^{\text{tw}} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) = (\tilde{\gamma} \otimes_R \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_C \otimes_R \Delta_C^{\text{tw}}).$$

By Lemma 4.6, the maps

$$\gamma^l : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet, d \mapsto \langle -, d \rangle \quad (\gamma^r : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet, c \mapsto \langle c, - \rangle)$$

are left (right) $C^\bullet$ -linear. Moreover, we have for each $c \in C$:

$$\sum \gamma^l(c_1) \rightarrow c_2 = \sum [\gamma^l(c_1)(c_2)]c_3 = \sum \langle c_2, c_1 \rangle c_3 = \sum \varepsilon(c_1)c_2 = c.$$

Consequently, $\gamma^l : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\bullet$ is a left counit for the $C^\bullet$ -coring $\mathbf{C}$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Assume $\mathbf{C}$ is a $C^\bullet$ -coring with left counit ε^l and define

$$\tilde{\pi} : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C, \quad c \otimes_R d \mapsto \varepsilon^l(d) \rightarrow c.$$

Then for all $f, g \in C^\bullet$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}(f \rightarrow (c \otimes_R d) \leftarrow g) &= \tilde{\pi}((c \leftarrow g \otimes_R f \rightarrow d)) &= [\varepsilon^l(f \rightarrow d)] \rightarrow (c \leftarrow g) \\ &= [f \bullet \varepsilon^l(d)] \rightarrow (c \leftarrow g) &= ([f \bullet \varepsilon^l(d)] \rightarrow c) \leftarrow g \\ &= (f \rightarrow (\varepsilon^l(d) \rightarrow c)) \leftarrow g &= f \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(c \otimes_R d) \leftarrow g. \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{\pi} : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C$ is $(C^\bullet, C^\bullet)$ -bilinear, whence (C, C) -bilinear by Proposition 2.13. Moreover, we have for all $c \in C$:

$$(\tilde{\pi} \circ \Delta_C^{\text{tw}})(c) = \sum \tilde{\pi}(c_2 \otimes_R c_1) = \sum \varepsilon^l(c_1)c_2 = c.$$

So, C^{cop} is coseparable.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Analogous to the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), we conclude that the C^* -coring $\mathbf{C}^{\text{cop}} := (C^{\text{cop}} : C^*)$ is left counital, whence the coring $\mathbf{C}$ is right counital.

Analogous to the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). ■

Acknowledgement: The author thanks King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM) for the financial support and the excellent research facilities.

References

- [Abu:2003] J. Abuhlail, *Rational Modules for Corings*, Commun. Algebra, Vol. 31 (2003), 5793-5840.
- [BKW:2005] T. Brzeziński, L. Kadison and R. Wisbauer, *On coseparable and biseparable corings*, LNPAM 239, Marcel Dekker (2005), 71-89.
- [Brz:2005] T. Brzeziński, *Galois comodules*, J. Algebra 290(2) (2005), 503-537.
- [BTW2006] T. Brzeziński, R. Turner and A. Wrightson, *The structure of weak coalgebra-Galois extensions*, Comm. Algebra 34(4) (2006), 1489-1519.
- [BW:2003] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lec. Not. Ser. 309, Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [CBM:2000] S. Caenepeel, B. Ion and G. Militaru, *The structure of Frobenius algebras and separable algebras*, K-Theory 19(4) (2000), 365-402.

- [C-IG-T:1997] F. Castaño Iglesias, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and C. Năstăsescu, *Separable functors in coalgebras. Applications*, Tsukuba J. Math. 21(2) (**1997**), 329-344.
- [DNR:2000] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, and Raianu, Ș., *Hopf Algebras, An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (2001).
- [Doi:1981] Y. Doi, *Homological coalgebra*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33(1) (**1981**), 31-50.
- [G-T:2002] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Separable Functors in Corings*, IJMMS 30 (**2002**), 203-225.
- [G-TL:2003] J. Gómez-Torrecillas and A. Louly, *Coseparable corings*, Comm. Algebra 31(9) (**2003**), 4455-4471.
- [Gut:1989] F. Guzmán, *Cointegrations, relative cohomology for comodules, and coseparable corings*, J. Algebra 126(1) (**1989**), 211-224.
- [Lar:1973] R. Larson, *Coseparable Hopf algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 3 (**1973**), 261-267.
- [Nak:1979] A. Nakajima, *Cosemisimple coalgebras and coseparable coalgebras over coalgebras*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 21(2) (**1979**), 125-140.
- [Nak:1980] A. Nakajima, *Coseparable coalgebras and coextensions of coderivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 22(2) (**1980**), 145-149.
- [NvdBvO:1989] C. Năstăsescu, Van den Bergh, M. and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Separable functors applied to graded rings*, J. Algebra 123 (**1989**), 397-413.
- [Raf:1990] M. D. Rafael, *Separable functors revisited*, Commun. Algebra 18 (**1990**), 1445-1459.
- [Swe:1975] M. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (**1975**), 391-406.
- [Wis:1991] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, a Handbook for Study and Research*, Algebra, Logic and Applications **3**, Philadelphia etc.: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers (**1991**).
- [Wis:1996] R. Wisbauer, *Modules and Algebras : Bimodule Structure and Group Actions on Algebras*, 1st ed., Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 81, Addison Wesley Longman Limited (1996).
- [Ver:2006] J. Vercruysse, *Local units versus local dualisations: corings with local structure maps*, Commun. Algebra 34 (**2006**), 2079-2103.
- [Z-H:1976] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen, *Pure submodules of direct products of free modules*, Math. Ann. 224 (**1976**), 233-245.